

Application of zeolite-y based on sidrap clay and rice husk ash as the adsorption of copper (Cu) and lead (Pb) metals

Armayani^{1*}, Damis¹, Hasrianti¹, Angga Nugraha¹, Subaer²

¹ Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidenreng Rappang, Indonesia
 ² Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia.
 *E-mail: <u>armayanim@gmail.com</u>

(Received: 15 June 2022; Accepted: 18 September 2022; Published: 30 September 2022)

ABSTRACT

This research examines zeolite-Y made from sidrap clay and rice husk ash as the adsorption of copper (Cu) and lead (Pb) metals. The method used is hydrothermal, using an autoclave with variations of Pb and Cu metals, namely 200, 400, and 600 ppm. The results showed that zeolite-Y was able to adsorb Cu and Pb well, as evidenced by the presence of Pb and Cu in the mapping results and EDS (Energy Dispersive X-Ray) and SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy) showed the morphology of each sample of Cu and Pb metals. Pores indicate the absorption of Cu and Pb metals in the zeolite. The results of AAS (Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer) show that the greater the levels of Cu and Pb produced, the greater the absorbent level.

Keywords: adsorption; copper (Pb); lead (Pb); zeolit-Y

DOI: <u>10.30870/gravity.v8i2.13747</u>

INTRODUCTION

As the industry grows, some advantages and disadvantages arise. Benefits include the production of equipment to support daily life, such as batteries, textiles, household appliances, etc. Meanwhile, the disadvantage is in the form of industrial waste that contains hazardous and toxic materials (B3) such as heavy metals (Anrozi & Trihadiningrum, 2017; Raharjo & Kuswadi, 2020). The effects arising from heavy metal pollution encourage search efforts to overcome them so that the benefits obtained from their use are not followed by risks that are accepted by humans, and the environment is non-biodegradable (Javanbakht et al., 2016; Nicoleta Popa, 2015). Sources of heavy metal ions in wastewater include mining and industries such as paper, textiles, etc (Suherni, 2010). Heavy metal contamination from wastewater

Copyright © 2022, Gravity, ISSN 2528-1976

generated by industries such as mineral processing, petrochemical activities, and metal plating facilities has caused worldwide concer (Shi et al., 2013). Heavy metals harm human health, resulting in reduced growth and development, as well as diseases such as cancer, damage to organs and nervous systems, and ecosystems. Therefore, various techniques, including ion exchange, chemical precipitation, membrane separation, and adsorption, have been developed to remove heavy metals from wastewater (Liu & Zhang, 2018). One way to reduce heavy metal pollution is using adsorbents to adsorb heavy metals. Several methods of removing heavy metals can be carried out by ion exchange, precipitation, phytoextraction ultrafiltration, reverse osmosis, electrodialysis, and adsorption techniques. (Mier et al., 2001). The essential thing in the adsorption process is selecting a suitable type of adsorbent. One of the most potential adsorbents is zeolite (Lehman & Larsen, 2014; Vishnu et al., 2021). Zeolite has several properties: dehydration, high cation exchange, a suitable catalyst, and an adsorbent for other compounds (Hartmann et al., 2016; Kundari et al., 2010; Setiawan et al., 2020; Solikah & Utami, 2014; Wei et al., 2015). In addition, the strength of the zeolite acid can also be controlled.

About 20% of the weight of rice is rice husk, varying from 13 to 29% of the composition of the husk is husk ash which is always produced every time the husk is burned. The most common silica (SiO2) content value in rice husk ash is 94 – 96% (Prasetyoko et al., 2006). The high content of silica in rice husk ash that it can be used in the manufacture of zeolite-based materials (Deviani et al., 2018; Saceda et al., 2011; et al., 2018). Clay minerals are layered silicates; the crystal structure of these minerals is composed of layers of SiO4 tetrahedron. In the center of the 6-banded SiO4 tetrahedron, there is usually a hydroxyl ion (OH). Clay minerals consist mainly of aluminum and/or iron, and magnesium silicates. Some of them also contain alkaline or alkaline earth as basic components (Setiawan et al., 2014). The synthesis of zeolite has been widely studied before, such as by Putri Hawa Syaifie (2019), who succeeded in synthesizing zeolite from kaolin and rice husk ash for the adsorption of copper and chromium metal in industrial wastewater. (Syaifie et al., 2019). Citra Deliana Dewi Sundari (2018) synthesized Synthesis of zeolite L using rice husk ash silica for methylene blue adsorption: kinetic and adsorption isotherms (Sundari et al., 2018). Lisanti Emelda (2013) used activated Natural Zeolite for Cr^{3+} metal adsorption (Emelda et al., 2013). Santasnachok dkk. (2014) synthesized zeolite from rice husk ash as an adsorbent for heavy metal Cd (II) in the Zn mining industry. The maximum Cd(II) removal capacity obtained from Na-A and Na-X zeolites were 736.38 and 684.46 mg/g, respectively (Santasnachok et al., 2014).

In this research, the application of zeolite as adsorption of copper Cu and lead Pb will be carried out using the basic ingredients of rice husk ash and clay, which are widely available in the Sidrap area of Sulawesi.

RESEARCH METHODS

The clay used as the primary material for zeolite comes from Sidrap Regency, South Sulawesi. The clay is cleaned of impurities and soaked with Aquadest to separate large lumps of clay particles. The results of the clay bath were filtered and then dried in the oven at 100°C for 2 hours. The dry clay was ground to smaller particle size and then sieved through a 200 mesh sieve. The sieve results were then dehydroxylated for 4 hours at a temperature of 750°C.

Rice husk ash used as a mixture for making zeolite comes from Sidrap Regency, South Sulawesi. Rice husk ash taken from the rice mill factory was first soaked in 0.1 mol HCl solution for 4 hours. The HCl solution is intended to release impurity minerals attached to rice husks. The immersion results were washed with distilled water several times until the remaining HCl solution was lost and dehydroxylated at 850°C for 4 hours.

The method used in the manufacture of zeolite is hydrothermally utilizing an autoclave. The hydrothermal process is a crystallization process, namely the arrangement of atoms to obtain a stable structure/crystal. This stage determines the type of zeolite. The length of time and temperature of crystallization will affect the results of the arrangement (Sriatun et al., 2017). Clay and rice husk ash were activated using NaOH and various Pb and Cu solutions. Pb and Cu solutions with concentrations of 200, 400, and 600 ppm were taken as much as 19.3 grams, respectively.

The addition of NaOH serves as an alkaline condition during the synthesis of Na-Y zeolite. Also, it forms a soluble sodium alumina salt to convert it into the zeolite. The Na+ cation from NaOH is used to stabilize the charge of Al3+ ions in the zeolite framework, but it is also needed to synthesize zeolite under hydrothermal conditions (Ojha et al., 2004).

After everything is mixed then, poured into a cylindrical mold and put into an autoclave, and heated in the oven for 3 hours at a temperature of 100°C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Characterization

XRD characterization was carried out to identify the phase, lattice parameters, and degree of crystallinity contained in each sample.



Figure 1. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) characterization results in Zeolite-Y (Armayani et al., 2020)

From the XRD results, it can be seen in Figure 1. The manufacture of zeolite from the results of mixing sidrap clay and rice husk ash with the highest peak content obtained quartz and zeolite-Y with the highest intensity at $2\theta = 15.61^{\circ}$; 20,950°; 21,794°; 23.44°; 26,737°; 33.59°;

Copyright © 2022, Gravity, ISSN 2528-1976

35.97[°]; and 41.15[°]. The percentages of compounds in table 1. are in the form of zeolite type Y, Quartz, Trydimite, and Hematite.

Phase name	Content (wt%)				
Tridymite, syn	4,5				
Quartz low HP, syn	58,0				
Zeolte Y (Na)	28,0				
Iron diiron(III) Oxide magnetite low	9,6				

Table 1. XRD qualitative analysis data

Type Y zeolite is used in the application of Cu and Pb adsorption.

Atomic Absorption Spectophotometer (AAS)

AAS characterization was carried out to determine how much adsorption power Cu and Pb metals were absorbed by zeolite Y. Each absorbent used was 200, 400, and 600 ppm, which were directly mixed in the process of making zeolite-Y using sidrap clay and risk husk ash as the basic ingredients.

a) Cu adsorption Zeolite

Based on the results of the AAS test for Cu adsorption zeolite using ppm variations of 200, 400, and 600 ppm, it can be seen from table 2 that the more Cu contained in the zeolite indicates that, the greater the adsorption power is absorbed. It can be seen from the 200 ppm Cu sample with 47.56 ppm Cu content that can be fascinated by the adsorption power of 0.0830. Samples of Cu 400 ppm with a concentration of Cu with levels of 38.17 ppm can be absorbed by the adsorption power of 0.0213. and Samples of Cu 600 ppm with Cu content of 57.43 ppm can be absorbed by the adsorption power of 0.0840.

In addition, the ability of the adsorbent to adsorb substances is influenced by several factors, namely the surface area of the adsorbent, the type of adsorbate, the concentration of the adsorbate, the molecular structure of the adsorbate, temperature, stirring speed, contact time is also influenced by the porosity of the adsorbent (Syauqiah et al., 2011).

Code Sample	Absorbance	[Cu] (mg/L)	Fp (times)	[Cu] x fp (mg/L)	B. Sample (G)	V.Sample (mL)	Grade Cu (mg/kg=pp m)
Cu 200	0,0830	0,9522	1	0,95	1,0011	50	47,56
Cu 400	0,0213	0,2326	1	0,23	0,3047	50	38,17
Cu 600	0,0840	0,9638	1	0,96	0,8392	50	57,43

Table 2. Results of AAS Testing for Metal Cu adsorption Zeolite samples

b) Pb adsorption Zeolite

Based on the results of the AAS test for Pb adsorption zeolite using ppm variations of 200, 400, and 600 ppm, it can be seen that the more Pb contained in the zeolite indicates that, the greater the adsorption power is absorbed. It can be seen from the 200 ppm Pb sample with Cu content of 1491.76 ppm that can be absorbed by the adsorption power of 0.0113. Samples of Pb 400 ppm with Pb levels with levels of 842.65 ppm can be absorbed with an adsorption capacity of 0.0057, and Pb samples of 600 ppm with Pb levels of 488.91 ppm can be absorbed Copyright © 2022, Gravity, ISSN 2528-1976

Tuble 5. Results of this testing for metal for description Zeonte samples.										
Code Sample	Absorbance	[Pb] (mg/L)	Fp (times)	[Pb] x fp (mg/L)	B. Sample (G)	V.Sam ple (mL)	Grade Pb (mg/kg=pp m)			
Pb 200	0,0113	0,6233	10	16,23	0,5441	50	1491,76			
Pb 400	0,0057	0,8052	10	8,05	0,4778	50	842,65			
Pb 600	0,0047	0,6624	10	6,62	0,6774	50	488,91			

in an adsorption capacity of 0.0047.

Table 3. Results of AAS testing for metal Pb adsorption Zeolite samples.

Zeolite is an adsorbent (absorbent) for the binding of certain compounds and molecules that only occurs on the surface. This process occurs due to physical interactions by van der Walls forces and chemical interactions with electrostatic properties (Atmono et al., 2017). The properties of zeolite include dehydration, ion exchange, adsorption, catalyst, and filtering/separation.

Characterization of Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Mapping

SEM characterization was carried out to determine the surface morphology of the zeolite samples formed.

a) Zeolite-Y



Figure 2. Results of SEM Image (Scanning Electron Microscopy) of Zeolite-Y sample (Armayani et al., 2020)

The morphology of zeolite-Y using clay and rice husk ash as a base material with a magnification of 5,000 times shows grains of varying or irregular size with a range of approximately 1 m. According to Nicoleta and Maria (2015), the surface area of zeolite is influenced by particle/pore size, pore shape, and the arrangement of pores in the particles (Nicoleta Popa, 2015).

b) Metal Cu Adsorption Zeolite

Figure 3 below shows that the morphology of the zeolite containing Cu levels of 200 ppm is rectangular in shape with different size variations using a magnification of 5,000 times. There is a reasonably large pore on the sample's surface, which absorb Cu metal content in the zeolite.



Figure 3. Results of SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy) samples of 200 ppm Cu metal zeolite.

The morphology of the zeolite sample containing 400 ppm Cu metal is shown in Figure 4, with irregular flat shapes with varying sizes with very small pores on the surface of the zeolite with a magnification of 5,000 times.



Figure 4. SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy) image results of 400 ppm Cu metal zeolite samples.

Figure 5 can be seen from the morphology of the zeolite in the form of a flat shape with uneven pores on the surface.



Figure 5. Results of SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy) images of 600 ppm Cu metal zeolite samples.

It can be seen that the surface morphology of the zeolite samples with Cu metal adsorption with various ppm variations multiple sizes vary with a size of approximately 5 μ m. There is also from each morphological image of the Cu variation, and it can be seen that pores are in each sample. Of the three variations of the adsorbed Cu metal, Cu with a deviation of 600 ppm seems to have a reasonably large pore among the three, whereas if viewed from the adsorption results using AAS, it is the 600 ppm Cu variation that absorbs the most Cu where the Cu content contained in this sample of 57.43 ppm with an absorption capacity of 0.0840. In addition, the decrease in the size of the adsorbent causes an increase in the pore volume and diameter. This tends to increase the adsorption capacity of the zeolite to heavy metals (Setiawan et al., 2020).

c)Metal Pb Adsorption Zeolite

From Figure 6 below, it can be seen that the morphology of the 200 ppm Pb zeolite is flat with different sizes with the presence of pores on the surface.



Figure 6. Results of SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy) sample of 200 ppm Pb metal zeolite.

It can be seen from the morphology of the zeolite sample with a metal content of 400 ppm Pb in Figure 7 in the form of varying particles, and there is a cubic shape between them and the presence of pores on the surface of the sample.



Figure 7. Results of SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy) samples of 400 ppm Pb metal zeolite.

Figure 8 shows that the morphology of the 600 ppm Pb metal zeolite sample contained a needle-shaped growth caused by the dominant silica content in the sample and several pores.



Figure 8. SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy) image results of 600 ppm Pb metal zeolite samples.

Based on the morphological results of the variation of the given Pb content, various types of sample sizes were seen where there is a flat shape and the shape of the needles surrounding the zeolite sample with a Pb content of 600 ppm. It is also seen in the presence of pores in each sample. It can be seen that with the number of pores or the more extensive the pores formed, the more power is absorbed by the Pb metal.

The pore structure of this zeolite can be applied as an adsorbent. With a finer mesh, the pores that are owned are also increasing, and the absorption surface area is also getting bigger, Copyright © 2022, Gravity, ISSN 2528-1976

affecting the adsorption activity. The smaller the size of the zeolite, the greater the potential for particle aggregation so that it can close the adsorbent's playful side in heavy metals' adsorption and reduce the adsorption capacity of the adsorbent. (Hossain et al., 2012).

EDS-Mapping Results

a) Zeolite Y

EDS analysis is needed to determine the elemental composition of zeolite Y content from the synthesis of rice husk ash and sidrap clay shown in Figure 9. It shows that the Si element from zeolite Y has a higher percentage than Fe of 7.95%, Na of 6. .16%, and Al of 4.80%.



Figure 9. Results of EDS (Energy Dispersive X-Ray) Zeolite-Y

In Figure 10, a mapping analysis is presented, which is a mapping or distribution of the elements contained in zeolite Y. From the picture, it shows evidence that the distribution of Fe elements is red, Na elements are green, Si elements are blue, and Al elements are yellow and purple element O.



Figure 10. Results of Mapping Zeolite Y Copyright © 2022, Gravity, ISSN 2528-1976

b) Zeolit Adsorption Cu 600 ppm

Figure 11 the results of EDS samples of zeolite adsorption Cu 600 ppm. The content contained is dominated by Si at 30.65%, Al at 7.56%, Ca at 0.10%, Fe at 6.39%, and Cu at 8.04%. This shows that the zeolite has succeeded in absorbing the Cu content.



Figure 11. Results of EDS (Energy Dispersive X-Ray) Zeolite adsorption Cu 600 ppm

Elemen		(keV	Mass	Counts	Sigm	Mol%	Compoun	Mass	Catio	V
t)	% ND	Counts	а	ND	d	% ND	n	ĸ
СК			ND			ND		ND		
0			46,52					ND		
Na K ⁺		1,04 1	8,10	5982,54	0,21	11,47	Na ₂ O	10,92	2,91	0,948 1
Al K		1,48 6	7,56	5430,59	0,31	9,12	Al_2O_3	14,29	2,31	0,974 8
Si K ⁺	(Ref.)	1,73 9	30,65	21459,7 1	0,71	71,04	SiO ₂	65,57	9,01	1,000
РК			ND			ND		ND		
C IZ			ND			ND		ND		
S K Ca K		3,69 0	0,10	42,56	0,08	0,17	CaO	0,14	0,02	1,695 3
Fe K ⁺		6,39 8	6,398	1242,86	0,36	7,95	FeO	8,78	1,01	3,843 6
Cu K ⁺		8,04 0	8,040	24,35	0,18	0,25	CuO	0,30	0,03	6,946 9
Total			100,0 0			100,0 0		100,0 0	15,29	

Table 4. Results of EDS (Energy Dispersive X-Ray) Zeolite adsorption Cu 600 ppm

The mapping analysis of Figure 12 on the 600 ppm Cu adsorption zeolite sample shows the distribution of the elements contained in the sample, namely Cu, Fe, Al, P, C, and O.



Figure 12. Results of mapping Zeolite adsorption Cu 600 ppm

c) Zeolit Adsorption Pb 600 ppm

Based on the results of the EDS in Figure 13, it can be seen that the metal adsorption zeolite content of Pb 600 ppm consists of elements of Na of 30.06%, Al of 6.85%, Si of 16.90%, Ca of 0.05%, Fe of 7, 86, and Pb of 0.20%. Pb content in the sample indicates that the zeolite can adsorb Pb metal well.



Figure 13. Results of EDS (Energy Dispersive X-Ray) Zeolite adsorption Pb 600 ppm

Elemen		(keV	Mass		Sigm	Mol%	Compoun	Mass	Catio	
t		(% ND	Counts	a	ND	d	% ND	n	Κ
<u> </u>)	ND		u	ND	ů	ND	11	
0			38.00			ΠD		ND		
$\mathbf{N}_{0}\mathbf{K}^{+}$		1.04	30,07	37604 7	0.30	12 87	NacO	10.53	12 18	1 000
INA K		1,04	30,00	37004,7	0,50	42,07	INd2O	40,55	15,18	1,000
A 1 TZ		1 40	C 05	1	0.02	0.22	41.0	10.02	0.56	1.000
AI K		1,48	6,85	8327,67	0,23	8,32	Al_2O_3	12,93	2,56	1,028
		6								1
Si K^+ (1	Ref.	1,73	16,90	20039,9	0,41	39,45	SiO ₂	36,15	6,07	1,054
)	9		3						7
РK			ND			ND		ND		
S K			ND			ND		ND		
Ca K		3.69	0.05	33,52	0.08	0,08	CaO	0,07	0.01	1,788
		0	,	,	,	,		,	,	0
Fe K ⁺		6,39	7,86	2425,11	0,30	9,23	FeO	1,42	1,42	4,053
		8	,	,	,			,	,	9
Pb K ⁺		2.34	0.20	48.58	0.22	0.06	CuO	0.01	0.01	5.035
-		2	- 7 -	- ,	- ,	- ,		- 7 -	- 7 -	4
Total			100,0			100,0		100,0	23,25	
			0			0		0		

Table 5. Results of EDS (Energy Dispersive X-Ray) Zeolite adsorption Pb 600 ppm

From Figure 14, it can be seen that the results of the mapping analysis of the 600 ppm Pb adsorption zeolite sample are shown in the distribution of the elements contained in the sample, namely Pb, Fe, Al, P, C, and O elements.



Figure 14. Results of mapping Zeolite adsorption Pb 600 ppm

Based on the results of the EDS-mapping of Cu and Pb zeolite samples, it can be seen that the content contained in each 600 ppm sample is very little Cu and Pb in the zeolite, so during AAS testing, it can be seen that only a small amount of 600 ppm Cu is present. Detected is 57.43 ppm, and Pb 600 ppm is 488.91 ppm. This is because, at the time of the mixing process, the Cu and Pb powders are not perfect, so the particles are not completely dissolved, resulting in only a small amount of Cu and Pb content contained at the time of mixing the zeolite.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the study, it was found that zeolite-Y was able to adsorb Cu and Pb metals well, as evidenced by the presence of Pb and Cu in the mapping and EDS results as well as in the SEM images shown in the morphological image of each Cu and Pb metal sample, there were pores indicating the absorption of Cu and Pb metals in the zeolite. And the AAS results show that the greater the levels of Cu and Pb produced, the greater the level of absorbent absorbed. Namely, each 200 ppm Cu sample with 47.56 ppm Cu content can be absorbed by the adsorption power of 0.0830. Samples of Cu 400 ppm with a concentration of Cu with levels of 38.17 ppm can be absorbed by the adsorption power of 0.0213. and Samples of Cu 600 ppm with Cu content of 57.43 ppm can be absorbed by the adsorption power of 0.0840. Pb sample of 200 ppm with Cu content of 1491.76 ppm can be absorbed by the adsorption power of 0.0113. Samples of Pb 400 ppm with Pb levels of 842.65 ppm can be absorbed by the adsorption power of 0.0057, and Pb samples of 600 ppm with Pb levels of 488.91 ppm can be absorbed by the adsorption power of 0.0047.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research has been funded by PDP Kemenristek Dikti No:631/KTR/II.3.AU/F/Rek.UMSR/2021.

REFERENCES

- Anrozi, R., & Trihadiningrum, Y. (2017). Kajian Teknologi dan Mekanisme Stabilisasi/Solidifikasi untuk Pengolahan Limbah B3. Jurnal Teknik ITS, 6(2), F445– F450.
- Armayani, M., Mansur, M., & Subaer, S. (2020). PENGARUH TEMPERATUR DAN KOMPOSISI KIMIA TERHADAP SINTESIS ZEOLITE-Y BERBASIS LEMPUNG DAN ABU SEKAM PADI. Jurnal Sains Dan Pendidikan Fisika, 16(3), 251–258.
- Atmono, A., Natalina, N., & Mukti, A. M. (2017). Pengaruh arang aktif dan zeolit sebagai media adsorben dalam penurunan kadar logam krom pada air limbah cair penyablonan pakaian. Jurnal Rekayasa, Teknologi, Dan Sains, 1(1).
- Deviani, S. S., Mahatmanti, F. W., & Widiarti, N. (2018). SINTESIS DAN KARAKTERISASI ZEOLIT DARI ABU SEKAM PADI MENGGUNAKAN METODE HIDROTERMAL. *Indonesian Journal of Chemical Science*, 7(1), 86–93.
- Emelda, L., Putri, S. M., & Ginting, S. (2013). Pemanfaatan zeolit alam teraktivasi untuk adsorpsi logam Krom (Cr3+). Jurnal Rekayasa Kimia & Lingkungan, 9(4), 166–172.
- Hartmann, M., Machoke, A. G., & Schwieger, W. (2016). Catalytic test reactions for the evaluation of hierarchical zeolites. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 45(12), 3313–3330. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5cs00935a
- Hossain, M. A., Ngo, H. H., Guo, W. S., & Nguyen, T. V. (2012). Removal of copper from water by adsorption onto banana peel as bioadsorbent. *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 2(2), 227–234. https://doi.org/10.21660/2012.4.3c
- Javanbakht, V., Ghoreishi, S. M., Habibi, N., & Javanbakht, M. (2016). A novel magnetic chitosan/clinoptilolite/magnetite nanocomposite for highly efficient removal of Pb (II) ions from aqueous solution. *Powder Technology*, 302, 372–383.
- Kundari, N. A., Susanto, A., & Prihatiningsih, M. C. (2010). Adsorpsi Fe dan Mn dalam limbah cair dengan zeolit alam. *Seminar Nasional VI Sdm Teknologi Nuklir Yogyakarta*, 18.
- Lehman, S. E., & Larsen, S. C. (2014). Zeolite and mesoporous silica nanomaterials: Greener syntheses, environmental applications and biological toxicity. *Environmental Science: Nano*, 1(3), 200–213. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4en00031e
- Liu, L., & Zhang, K. (2018). Nanopore-Based Strategy for Sequential Separation of Heavy-Metal Ions in Water [Research-article]. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 52(10), 5884–5891. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b06706
- Mier, M. V., Callejas, R. L., Gehr, R., Cisneros, B. E. J., & Alvarez, P. J. J. (2001). Heavy metal removal with mexican clinoptilolite:: multi-component ionic exchange. *Water Research*, 35(2), 373–378.
- Nicoleta Popa, M. V. (2015). Adsorption of Heavy Metals Cations onto Zeolite Material from Aqueous Solution. *Journal of Membrane Science & Technology*, 05(01), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9589.1000133
- Nurhajawarsi, N., Rafi, M., Syafitri, U. D., & Rohaeti, E. (2018). L-Histidine-Modified Silica from Rice Husk and Optimization of Adsorption Condition for Extractive Concentration of Pb(II). *The Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry Research*, 7(2), 198–208. https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.jpacr.2018.007.02.402
- Ojha, K., Pradhan, N. C., & Samanta, A. N. (2004). Zeolite from fly ash: synthesis and Copyright © 2022, Gravity, ISSN 2528-1976

characterization. Bulletin of Materials Science, 27(6), 555-564.

- Prasetyoko, D., Ramli, Z., Endud, S., Hamdan, H., & Sulikowski, B. (2006). Conversion of rice husk ash to zeolite beta. *Waste Management*, *26*(10), 1173–1179.
- Raharjo, I., & Kuswadi, D. (2020). Kombinasi Proses Presipitasi dan Adsorpsi untuk Menangani Limbah Bahan Berbahaya Beracun (B3) Hasil Kegiatan Analisis di Laboratorium Analisis Polinela. Jurnal Ilmiah Teknik Pertanian-TekTan, 12(2), 65–71.
- Saceda, J. J. F., De Leon, R. L., Rintramee, K., Prayoonpokarach, S., & Wittayakun, J. (2011). Properties of silica from rice husk and rice husk ash and their utilization for zeolite y synthesis. *Quimica Nova*, 34(8), 1394–1397. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-40422011000800018
- Santasnachok, C., Kurniawan, W., Salim, C., & Hinode, H. (2014). The Utility of Rice Husk Ash from Biomass Power Plant: Synthesis of Na-A and Na-X Zeolites Using the Two Step Method Hydrothermal. *Journal of Advanced Agricultural Technologies*, 1(2), 75– 81. https://doi.org/10.12720/joaat.1.2.75-81
- Setiawan, A., Fitriayani, & Subaer. (2014). Kuat Tekan Pasta Geopolimer Berbahan Dasar Lempung Dengan Menggunakan Sodium Silikat Sintesis Dari Abu October.
- Setiawan, A., Hanun, J. N., & Afiuddin, A. E. (2020). Sintesis dan Karakterisasi Zeolit dari Abu Bagasse Sebagai Adsorben Logam Berat Cu(II). *Jurnal Presipitasi*, 17(1), 85–95.
- Shi, Z., Di Toro, D. M., Allen, H. E., & Sparks, D. L. (2013). A general model for kinetics of heavy metal adsorption and desorption on soils. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47(8), 3761–3767. https://doi.org/10.1021/es304524p
- Solikah, S., & Utami, B. (2014). Perbedaan Penggunaan Adsorben Dari Zeolit Alam Teraktivasi dan Zeolit Terimmobilisasi Dithizon Untuk Penyerapan Ion Logam Tembaga (Cu. Seminar Nasional Kimia Dan Pendidikan Kimia VI. Surakarta, 21.
- Sriatun, Taslimah, Cahyo, E. N., & Saputroa, F. D. (2017). Jurnal Kimia Sains dan Aplikasi Sintesis dan Karakterisasi Zeolit Y. Jurnal Kimia Sains Dan Aplikasi, 20(1), 19–24.
- Suherni, R. S. (2010). Keracunan Timbal di Indonesia. Gobal Lead Advice Supprot Serv [Internet], 1–19.
- Sundari, C. D. D., Setiadji, S., Rohmatullah, Y., Sanusi, S., Nurbaeti, D. F., Novianti, I., Farida, I., Nurohmah, A., & Ivansyah, A. L. (2018). Synthesis of zeolite L using rice husk ash silica for adsorption of methylene blue: kinetic and adsorption isotherm. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 197, 05002.
- Syaifie, P. H., Taufiq, A., & Wardhani, G. A. (2019). Sintesis, Karakterisasi dan Aplikasi Zeolit Berbahan Dasar Kaolin dan Abu Sekam Padi untuk Adsorpsi Logam Tembaga dan Krom dalam Limbah Cair Industri Pelapisan Logam. Jurnal Teknologi Bahan Dan Barang Teknik, 9(1), 17–24.
- Syauqiah, I., Amalia, M., & Kartini, H. A. (2011). Analisis Variasi Waktu Dan Kecepatan Pengaduk Pada Proses Adsorpsi Limbah Logam Berat Dengan Arang Aktif. *Info Teknik*, 12(1), 11–20.
- Vishnu, D., Dhandapani, B., Senthil Kumar, K., Balaji, G., & Mahadevan, S. (2021). Removal of heavy metals from mine waters by natural zeolites. *New Trends in Removal of Heavy Metals from Industrial Wastewater*, 39(12), 161–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822965-1.00008-8
- Wei, Y., Parmentier, T. E., De Jong, K. P., & Zečević, J. (2015). Tailoring and visualizing the pore architecture of hierarchical zeolites. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 44(20), 7234–7261. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5cs00155b