

IMPROVING STUDENT READING COMPREHENSION AND STUDENT MOTIVATION BY USING LITERATURE CIRCLES (CLASSROOM ACTION RESEARCH IN SMAN 1 CINANGKA 2017-2018)

Hani Haeroni

University of Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa

haniami@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The objective of this research is to find out the improvement of reading comprehension and student's motivation by using literature circles in SMAN 1 Cinangka. The researcher used classroom action research. The sample of this research is students who sit in the eleventh grade of SMAN 1 Cinangka with 35 students. There are three cycles which was used by the researcher. In order to improve the reading comprehension and student's motivation, literature circles was implemented in four weeks. In the last circle pre-cycle and post cycle were compared. The researcher found that there is significant improving of reading comprehension and student's motivation by using literature circles. The first cycle students reading comprehension improved 42,9%, and the students motivation improved 41,%. The second cycle gradually students reading comprehension improved 68,6%, and the students motivation improved 80,%. The third cycle students reading comprehension improved 91,4%, and the student's motivation improved 91,4,%. It means that there is significant improvement of reading comprehension and student motivation's which focused on discerning main idea, specific information, understanding the sequence, and inference, in SMAN 1 Cinangka by using literature circles. All these suggest that improving students reading comprehension and student's motivation by using literature circles is highly encourage.

Keywords: Student's motivation, reading Comprehension, and literature circles.

INTRODUCTION

There are a number of obvious reasons why improving reading comprehension and student's motivation by using literature circles in Senior High School in 1 Cinangka for my research. *First*, literature circles are allegedly as an effective strategy to increase student ability in reading comprehension (Varetta, 2017, p. 45), and are assumed implementing literature discussion groups in a

classroom can have a positive effect on comprehension. (Tugman, 2010, p. 40). *Secondly*, implementing literature discussion groups can give students opportunity to interact and take control of the learning process (Tugman, 2010, pp. 40-41). Literature circles were more successful in concluding, predicting, and deducing from the texts than the classes that implemented traditional method of reading (Karatay, 2017, p. 65).

Literature circles are a form of book group that engage students by allowing them to respond to the text in a variety of ways and practice using reading comprehension strategies (Briggs, 2010, p. 7). The opportunity to interact and control the learning process is assumed as a motivation to learn particularly in reading skill. The reading skill which needs to be improved refers to two reasons (1). the syllabus of SMA conducts a text based teaching with two dimension interpersonal text, transactional text (P & K. Indonesia, 2016, pp. 2-3). (2). the text taught in the school is context based text. In short, literature circles are assumed by the researcher that it can give students opportunity to interact and take control of the learning process.

Thirdly, Literature Circles are assumed as a large issue to be implemented in Banten as a part of Indonesia province. The researcher commences this approach in SMAN 1 Cinangka in where students discuss parts of the books they read at certain intervals in groups formed according to the books they chose, and which have connectors, questioner, and literal luminary (Daniels, 2002, p. 12). Furthermore the literature circles are assumed encouraged all students to participate in the discussions, including the students who would usually not volunteer to talk during whole-class (Bede, 2010, p. 58).

From the three various reasons aforementioned above, it can be concluded that literature circles in SMAN 1 Cinangka are assumed to be appropriately implemented as an approach, and techniques to be conducted to improve reading skill and motivation.

In addition the students' problems faced by students of SMAN 1 Cinangka in reading comprehension and motivation particularly have various numbers. *First* the students are nearly unable to summarize, list question, predict word in the

reading text. *Next*, the students' inability to locate a few special selections in reading text is a great issue. Third, the students are unable to connect among several narratives, fictions, or legends which are read and with the world outside. Fourth the students are unable to lookout for words that may be unusual, puzzling, or unfamiliar. The last is the inability of students to illustrate something that's discussed specifically in the book or something that the reading reminds.

Reading comprehension means the automatized process in word recognition including phonological processing, orthographic processing, and lexical access (Grabe, 2009, p. 221). Reading comprehension which has a number of strategies is consciously controlled by readers to solve reading problem. Therefore improving reading comprehension and student's motivation with two text dimensions focus on genre based text. In short student's motivation which has high and low motivation in reading is the motivation towards learning has an important impact on academic success (Knol, 2000, p. 49).

Ideally the students who study in SMAN 1 Cinangka have a very good reading comprehension and motivation for several reasons. *First*, the students who sit in SMA derived from a number of SMP students who has already studied about reading and has a strong motivation in their previous schools. *Second*, the facilities in SMAN 1 Cinangka such as computers which have internet access and a large library which more than a thousand book collections in it are quite complete. Thirdly, teachers who has English educational background and who has certificate professionalism are quite excellent to be a guidance of student's motivation and a consultant of reading comprehension.

The purpose of the study

The purpose of the study has a number of issues with a great number of scholars who have some concern about it such as (Edmondson, 2012) discusses about wiki literature circles: creating digital learning communities. (Bendu, 2013) Discuss about a universal design for learning (UDL) based literature circle (LC) model: effect on higher-order reading comprehension skill and students engagement in diverse sixth grade classroom discusses digital storytelling: reinventing literature circles.

The purpose of the study is to know the improvement of reading comprehension and student's motivation by using literature circles in SMAN 1 Cinangka academic year 2017-2018.

The problem sentence of the study

1. Is there any improvement of reading comprehension and student's motivation by using literature circles in SMAN 1 Cinangka academic year 2017-2018.
2. How is the improvement of reading comprehension and student's motivation by using literature circles in MAN 1 Cinangka academic year 2017-2018?

THEORITICAL FRAMEWORK

Literature circle

Literature circles are small, peer-led discussion groups who have chosen to read the same story, poem, article, or book (Daniels, 2002). Literature circles, and, in particular peer-led literature circles can provide a space for students to exercise their choices as readers (Smiles, 2005, p. 225). Literature circles consist of a small group of students reading the same text independently and then meeting to discuss the text (Meredith, 2015, p. 7). Literature circles can be used to discuss any type or genre of text, for example: fiction, nonfiction, chapter books, picture books, textbooks, articles, or poems. The purpose is for students to interact with peers and their chosen text through ongoing discussions.

Most of the literature reviewed defined a literature discussion group as a small group of four to eleven students designed to facilitate engagement in a student-led dialogue about literature (Tugman, 2010, p. 9). The use of literature circles was meant to encourage students to improve their abilities to analyze literature selections as well as their self-determination in selecting literature to read and discussing their ideas (H. Timoty Blumm, 2015, p. 1).

In the number of literature circles defined above, it can be synthesized that literature circles are Literature circles are small, peer-led discussion groups who have chosen to read the same story, poem, article, or book.

Traditionally, the idea of literature circles has been used to read and discuss fictional text. Due to the success with fictional text, the concept of literature circles has been extended to enhance content area vocabulary and related concepts. Although student roles may differ, the process is similar. Discussion techniques are modeled as students participate in student led sessions that involve higher level thinking skills: questioning, responding, inferring, summarizing, and reflecting.

Additionally, through extended roles and discussions, students gain a greater sense of responsibility and accountability. Literature circles evidently have a positive impact on student perceptions, independent reading habits and engagement in English (Clarke, 2014, p. 19). Literature circles allow students to learn and practice the reading comprehension Strategies (Briggs, 2010, p. 16).

Implementing literature discussion groups in a classroom can have a positive effect on comprehension Literature discussion groups are multifaceted which cause students to use a mixture of comprehension strategies in complicated and always changing ways (Tugman, 2010, pp. 40-41).

In addition to the three paragraph above, it can be concluded that Implementing literature discussion groups in a classroom can have a positive effect on comprehension and). Literature discussion groups are multifaceted which cause students to use a mixture of comprehension strategies in complicated and always changing ways.

Reading Comprehension

In this theoretical framework the writer is going to discuss about what is reading skill in particular theory from a number of experts. There are two words of definition of the reading skill, first is “reading” and “skill”. Reading means perceiving a written text in order to understand its contents (Smidt, 2002, p. 454). Reading is often used in instructional settings as practice material (Bernhardt, 2011, p. 1). Meanwhile, skill means an acquired ability to perform an activity well, usually one that is made up of a number of coordinated processes and

actions (Smidt, 2002, p. 500). So reading skill mean perceiving a written text in order to understand its content and acquired ability to perform an activity well.

A number of experts of defining of reading skill have various defining of reading skill and strategy which have nearly the same (Karami, 2008, p. 2). Reading skill means included the automatized processing of syntax parsing and semantic proposition (Grabe, 2009, p. 221). In other word, reading skill has the synonym of the reading comprehension (Ferris, 2009, p. 36).

Regardless of the most important part of the in the language skill, reading is an extraordinary achievement when one considers the number of levels and components that must be mastered (Graesser, 2007, p. 3). Reading is a set of skills that involves making sense and deriving meaning from the printed word (Linse, 2005, p. 77).

From the theory aforementioned, it can be concluded that reading skill means included the automatized processing of syntax parsing and semantic proposition.

According to (Smidt, 2002, p. 255) a number of reading skills have various concern need to be discussed (1) discerning main ideas, (2) understanding sequence, (3) noticing specific details, (4) making inferences, and (5) making prediction. Discerning main idea means the readers are able to point out the main idea of the text. The understanding of sequence means that readers are able to know the sequence of reading text which relate with coherence and cohesive of the text.

Student's Motivation

There are two important part of reading motivations. They are intrinsic motivation, enjoyment of language learning itself, and extrinsic motivation, driven by external factors such as parental pressure, societal expectations, academic requirements, or other sources of rewards and punishments (Smidt, 2002, p. 354). Reading motivation and reading comprehension are increased when students are able to connect what they read to their own experiences and interests (Briggs, 2010, p. 14) Improvement in reading comprehension for students using literature

circles, but not much more of a gain in levels compared to students in guided reading group (Meredith, 2015, p. 26). Improving motivation may ultimately lead to better comprehension outcomes for children with poor reading comprehension skills (Middleton, 2011, p. 28).

a. Extrinsic Motivation

Extrinsic motivation that is promoted by factors external to the individual and unrelated to the task being performed occurs when students are motivated to perform a behavior or engage in an active to earn a reward or avoid punishment. The extrinsic activities are the goal of the intrinsic motivation (Jamesh, 2000, p. 107).

b. Intrinsic Motivation

Intrinsic motivation is an individual's internal desire to perform a particular task. Intrinsic motivation involves engaging in a behavior because it is personally rewarding; essentially, performing an activity for its own sake rather than the desire for some external reward.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Source data

The sources of the data are taken from questionnaires, observation and reading comprehension test of student of SMAN 1 Cinangka Serang-Banten.

Subject of the study

The subject of this study is the students who sit in the eleventh grade of SMAN 1 Cinangka with 35 total numbers of participants.

Data collecting

As soon as classroom action research conducted, the instruments used by the researcher are reading comprehension test, questionnaires, and observation sheet in every cycle. The pre-cycle data was included as the main resource of conducting classroom action research. The classroom action research was held in three cycle or four week started from 10th April to 10th May 2018.

Techniques of Data Analysis

In analyzing the data, two analyzed was used in data analysis.

1. Descriptive statistic used to know the mean, median and modus of both students reading motivation and skill
2. Qualitative descriptive used to know the description of both students reading motivation and skill

FINDING AND DISCUSSION

Finding

The researcher commenced in the pre-cycle student's motivation and reading skill. Both of reading comprehension and student's motivation are 80% need to be improved. The researcher obtained a number of data from the daily teaching routine. The number of data figured in the pre-cycle data is stated as follow:

Figure 1. Data Description on Pre-Cycle of Reading Comprehension

Interval	Students' Score Frequency	Percentage (%)
96 - 100	0	0,0
91 - 95	1	2,9
86 - 90	1	2,9
81 - 85	1	2,9
76 - 80	2	5,7
71 - 75	2	5,7
66 - 70	14	40,0
61 - 65	10	28,6
56 - 60	3	8,6
50 - 55	1	2,9
Total	35	100

Percent Scores

Assignment: Reading Comprehension Test
(40), Whole Scored

	Raw Score
Max	95
Min	55
Mean	69,03
Median	11,43
Modus	70,00

Std. Deviation 8,27

In addition, the reading comprehension 80% students need to improve. Whereas the mean is 69,03 median is 11,43 and modus is 79. In short, the data above are taken by the researcher as the basic of conducting cycles.

Figure 2. Data Description Pre-Cycle of student's Motivation

Interval	Students' Score Frequency	Percentage (%)
96 - 100	0	0,0
91 - 95	1	2,9
86 - 90	1	2,9
81 - 85	1	2,9
76 - 80	2	5,7
71 - 75	2	5,7
66 - 70	13	37,1
61 - 65	10	28,6
56 - 60	4	11,4
50 - 55	1	2,9
Total	35	100

Percent Scores

Assignment: RMQ (20), Whole Scored

Student's Motivation Questionnaires (SMQ)

	Raw Score
Max	92
Min	54
Mean	69,03
Median	68,00
Modus	70,00
Std. Deviation	8,07

In student's motivation, strongly agree is scored 4, very agree is scored 3, disagree is scored 2, and strongly disagree is scored 1.

In order to make clear the improvement reading comprehension and student's motivation based on the data above, the researcher pointed a score of a minimal mastery criteria (KKM) that students must be passed. The minimal mastery criteria score is in the interval 71 to 75 (73). If the students score is 73, It means that they passed the score of minimal mastery criteria. But if not, it means that they have not passed yet. Based on the data above on figure 1, there are 80%

of students have not passed yet of minimal mastery criteria. In short both reading comprehension and student's motivation 80% need to be improved.

Cycle 1

Having conducting literature circles in the classroom, several data are gotten by the researcher. The result of the data was analyzed by using percentage. Then mean, median, and modus are also displayed. The cycle 1 data is figured as follow:

Figure 3. Data Description on Cycle 1 of Reading Comprehension

Interval	Students' Score Frequency	Percentage (%)
96 - 100	1	2,9
91 - 95	1	2,9
86 - 90	2	5,7
81 - 85	4	11,4
76 - 80	3	8,6
71 - 75	4	11,4
66 - 70	9	25,7
61 - 65	8	22,9
56 - 60	2	5,7
50 - 55	1	2,9
Total	35	100

Percent Scores

Assignment: Reading Comprehension test (40),
Whole Scored

	Raw Score
Max	100
Min	55
Mean	73,06
Median	70,00
Modus	70,00
Std. Deviation	10,19

Figure 4. Data Description on Cycle 1 of Student's Motivation

Interval	Students' Score Frequency	Percentage (%)
96 - 100	1	2,9

91 - 95	1	2,9
86 - 90	2	5,7
81 - 85	3	8,6
76 - 80	4	11,4
71 - 75	7	20,0
66 - 70	7	20,0
61 - 65	6	17,1
56 - 60	4	11,4
50 - 55	0	0,0
Total	35	100

Percent Scores

Assignment: Student's Motivation Questionnaires (SMQ) (20),
Whole Scored

	<u>Raw Score</u>
Max	100
Min	60
Mean	74,00
Median	74,00
Modus	70,00
Std. Deviation	10,09

In student's motivation, strongly agree is scored 4,
very agree is scored 3, disagree is scored 2,
and strongly disagree is scored 1.

The first cycle gradually students reading comprehension improved 42,9%. Then, the student's motivation improved 41,%. it can be seen in the figure 3 and 4 above.

Cycle 2

Figure 5. Data Description on Cycle 2 of Student Motivation

Interval	Students' Score Frequency	Percentage (%)
96 - 100	3	8,6
91 - 95	3	8,6
86 - 90	3	8,6
81 - 85	4	11,4
76 - 80	7	20,0
71 - 75	8	22,9
66 - 70	3	8,6
61 - 65	3	8,6
56 - 60	1	2,9
50 - 55	0	0,0
Total	35	100

Score Percentages

Assignment: Student's Motivation Questions (20),
whole Scored

	Raw Score
Max	100,0
Min	60,0
Mean	80,6
Median	80,0
Modus	75,0
Std. Deviation	10,6

In student's motivation, strongly agree is scored 4,
very agree is scored 3, disagree is scored 2,
and strongly dis agree is scored 1.

The second cycle gradually students reading comprehensionl progress improved 68,6%. Whereas 31,4% of students reading skill need to be improve. Then, the students reading motivation improved 80,%. Nonetheless; students reading motivation 20% need to be improved.

Cycle 3

Figure 6. Data Description on Cycle 2 of Reading Comprehension

Interval	Students' Score	
	Frequency	Percentage (%)
96 - 100	3	8,6
91 - 95	2	5,7
86 - 90	2	5,7
81 - 85	4	11,4
76 - 80	6	17,1
71 - 75	7	20,0
66 - 70	7	20,0
61 - 65	3	8,6
56 - 60	1	2,9
50 - 55	0	0,0
Total	35	100

Score percentages

Assignment: Reading Comprehension test (40),
whole Scored

	Raw Score
Max	100
Min	60
Mean	76,98
Median	75,00

Modus	75,00
Std. Deviation	10,51

Figure 7. Data Description on Cycle 3 of Student's Motivation

Interval	Students' Score Frequency	Percentage (%)
96 - 100	5	14,3
91 - 95	5	14,3
86 - 90	7	20,0
81 - 85	6	17,1
76 - 80	5	14,3
71 - 75	4	11,4
66 - 70	2	5,7
61 - 65	1	2,9
56 - 60	0	0,0
50 - 55	0	0,0
Total	35	100

Percent Scores

Assignment: Student's Motivation Questionnaires (SMQ) (20),
Whole Scored

	Raw Score
Max	100,0
Min	65,0
Mean	86,3
Median	85,0
Modus	90,0
Std. Deviation	9,6

In student's motivation strongly agree is scored 4, very agree is scored 3, disagree is scored 2, and strongly dis agree is scored 1.

The third cycle both student reading comprehension and student's motivation improved 91,4%. In the meantime there is 8% of both student reading comprehension and student's motivation need to be improved by conducting remedial teaching and testing.

DISCUSSION

The first cycle gradually students reading comprehension improved 42,9%. In the meantime 57,1% of students reading comprehension need to be

improve. Then, the student's motivation improved 41,%. However; student's motivation 48,6% need to be improved.

The second cycle gradually student reading comprehension progress improved 68,6%. Whereas 31,4% of students reading comprehension need to be improve. Then, the student's motivation improved 80,%. Nonetheless; student's motivation 20% need to be improved.

The third cycle students reading skill improved 91,4%. In the meantime 8% of students reading comprehension need to be improved. then, the student's motivation improved 91,4,%. But; student's motivation 8% need to be improved. In short, the 8% of students in the last cycle, the researcher conducted remedial teaching and testing.

Student's motivation by using literature circles is assessed by using student's motivation questionnaires whereas reading comprehension was measured reading comprehension test. Based on the discussion, improving reading comprehension and student's motivation by using literature circle is significant. The more students are engage in reading display this process, the better their comprehension of the texts is likely to be (Guthrie, 2004, p. 5). In short, general motivation, specific purpose, and individual interest frequently can and do converge in academic reading tasks (Ferris, 2009, p. 64).

Furthermore Motivation for reading can be enhance through instruction and that motivation impacts comprehension (Grabe, 2009, p. 175).. By using literature circles students were engage and having fun running their own literature circles (Briggs, 2010, p. 28). In conclusion, the researcher is only learning how to implementing the concept of literature circle into classroom with significant result.

CONCLUSION

There are two conclusion based on the research question above:

1. There is significant improvement of reading comprehension and student's motivation by using literature circles in SMAN 1 Cinangka academic year 2017-2018.

2. The improvement in the first cycle of student's motivation is improved 51, 5% and reading comprehension is improved 42, 9%. The second cycle that is of student's motivation is improved 80,1% and reading comprehension is improved 68,5%. The last cycle both student's motivation and reading comprehension improve 91,5%. In the rest, both student motivation 9% and reading comprehension 9% of this research are the weakness of the researcher in conducting a classroom action research.

REFERENCES

- Bede, S. (2010). *The Impact of Literature Circles*. Bowling Green State University
- Bendu, C. G. (2013). *A Universal Design for Learning (UDL) Based Literature Circle (LC) Model: Effects on Higher-Order Reading Comprehension Skills and Student Engagement in Diverse Sixth-Eighth Grade Classrooms*. Department of Educational Administration, Foundations and Psychology University of Manitoba Winnipeg.
- Bernhardt, E. B. (2011). *Understanding Advanced Second- Language Reading*. New York: Routledge.
- Briggs, S. R. (2010). *Using Literatue Circles to Increase Reading Comprehension in Third Grade Elementary School*. Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree Master of Science in Education, Dominican University of California, School of Education and Counseling Psychology, California.
- Clarke, L. (2014). *The Impact of Literature Circles on Student*. Melbourne: Melbourne Graduate School of Education The University of Melbourne.
- Daniels, H. (2002). *Literature circles: Voice and Choice in book Clubs & Reading Group*. Chicago: Pembroke Publishers Limited.
- Edmondson, E. (2012). Wiki Literature Circles: Creating Digital Communities. *English Journal*, 101.
- Ferris, J. S. (2009). *Teaching Readers of English Students, Text and Context*. New York: Routledge.
- Grabe, W. (2009). *Reading In A Second Language: Moving From Theory To Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Graesser, A. C. (2007). *An Introduction to Strategic Reading Comprehension*. (D. S. McNamara, Penyunt.) London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Guthrie, J. T. (2004). *Classroom Contexts for Engaged Reading: An Overview*. (A. W. John T. Guthrie, Ed.) New Jersey, United States of America: Lawrence Erlbaum Association.
- H. Timoty Blumm, L. L. (2015, July 2). Literature Circles: A Tool for self Determination In One Middle School inclusive Classroom. *Remedial and Special Education*, 23.

- Karami, H. (2008). *Reading Strategies: What are they?* retrieved March 29, 2018, from <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED502937.pdf>
- Karatay, H. (2017, July 26). The Effect of Literature Circles on Text Analysis and Reading Desire. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 6, No. 5; 2017, 65.
- Knol, C. L. (2000, April 4). *Graduate Research and Creative Practice at ScholarWorks@GVSU*. retrieved from <http://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/theses>: <http://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/theses/497>
- Linse, C. T. (2005). *Practical English Language Teaching: Young Learners*. New York: MacGraw-Hill Companies.
- Meredith, J. (2015). *The Effects of Literature Circles on Second Graders' Reading Comprehension and Motivation*. Goucher College, Degree of Master of Education in Education Goucher College.
- Middleton, M. E. (2011). *Reading Motivation and Reading Comprehension*. Ohio: Ohio State University.
- Smidt, J. C. (2002). *Dictionary Of Language Teaching & Applied Linguistics*. London: Longman.
- Smiles, T. (2005). *Student Engagement Within Peer-led Literature Circles: Exploring the Thought Styles of Adolescents*. The University of Arizona, Department of Language, Reading, and Culture. The University of Arizona.
- Tugman, H. (2010). *Literature Discussion Group And Reading Comprehension*. Michigan: Northern Michigan University.