PROCEEDING AISELT
(Annual International Seminar on English Language Teaching)
Available online at https://jurnal.untirta.ac.id/index.php/aiselt
e-ISSN: 2597-4955 and p-ISSN 2597-4947
DEVELOPING HARMONY OF DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION IN ELT

Challenges in Using Digital Multimodality to Teach Grammar

Ledy Nurlely

ledy@untirta.ac.id
Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Serang, Indonesia

APA Citation:
Nurlely, L. (2023). Challenges in using digital multimodality to teach grammar. *PROCEEDING AISELT (Annual International Seminar on English Language Teaching), 8* (1), 31-40.

Abstract

The integration of digital multimodality in grammar education brings forth a progressive approach aimed at enhancing instructional engagement and understanding. This article investigates the intricate challenges associated with this endeavour. While digital tools and resources amplify grammar instruction through interactive experiences, obstacles emerge. These challenges encompass limited technology access and resource availability, inadequate teacher preparation, and curriculum time constraints. Additionally, addressing diverse learning needs, cultural nuances, and ensuring pedagogical continuity present further complexities. By recognizing these challenges as pivotal points for development, this study underscores the importance of research and ongoing professional growth to surmount obstacles. Amidst these challenges, the potential for innovative pedagogical insights and customized strategies emerges, emphasizing the need for a comprehensive approach to overcome these hurdles and achieve effective grammar education through digital multimodality.

Keywords: challenges; grammar; multimodality

INTRODUCTION

In the ever-evolving landscape of education, the integration of technology has catalysed a transformation in teaching methodologies. This shift has given rise to the concept of digital multimodality – an innovative approach that harnesses a diverse array of digital tools and resources to revolutionize the learning experience. This introduction delves into the profound impact of digital multimodality on grammar instruction, shedding light on how the fusion of technology and pedagogy is reshaping the way students engage with language rules and structures.

The advent of digital multimodality has breathed new life into the conventional grammar instruction paradigm. Traditionally confined to textbooks and chalkboards, grammar lessons now encompass a dynamic blend of visual, auditory, and kinesthetics elements facilitated by digital platforms (Mayer, 2009; Kress, 2010). The introduction of interactive videos, animations, podcasts, and gamified exercises has created a multisensory learning environment, catering to the diverse learning preferences and cognitive styles of modern learners (Gee, 2003; Plass & Jones, 2005). This paradigm shift goes beyond the mere transmission of information; it sparks active participation, critical thinking, and enhanced retention among students.

Amidst this transformative backdrop, it is imperative to acknowledge that the integration of digital multimodality into grammar teaching is not without its challenges. Despite its potential benefits,

the use of digital multimodality for teaching grammar comes with a range of challenges. These challenges encompass limited access to technology and resources, inadequate teacher training, time constraints within the curriculum, and the intricacies of assessing students' multimodal work (Hattie & Donoghue, 2016; Jaggars, 2014). Furthermore, the consideration of cultural and linguistic diversity, the need for seamless pedagogical integration, and the maintenance of continuity present formidable obstacles that must be navigated with care.

In navigating these challenges, educators and stakeholders are presented with opportunities for growth, innovation, and collaboration. The synthesis of research and development stands as a beacon, illuminating the path toward effective implementation of digital multimodality in grammar instruction. By recognizing these challenges as catalysts for improvement, the educational community can forge a way forward that not only harnesses the benefits of technology-driven pedagogy but also addresses the inherent complexities.

This article embarks on an exploration of the multifaceted landscape of digital multimodality in grammar teaching. By analyzing the potential advantages and challenges, we strive to provide educators, policymakers, and researchers with insights into the transformative power of technology in education. As we navigate the intersection of technology and grammar pedagogy, we must collectively embrace the journey toward enhancing learning experiences while confronting the hurdles that arise along the way.

METHOD

The methodology employed for this study involves a literature review. This approach entails the identification, selection, and analysis of peer-reviewed articles, academic papers, reports, and relevant literature that provide insights into the challenges of using digital multimodality for grammar instruction.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The Benefits of Multimodal Grammar Instruction

Grammar instruction has long been considered a cornerstone of language learning, but the traditional methods of teaching have often been criticized for their lack of engagement and limited effectiveness. In recent years, educators and researchers have turned their attention to multimodal approaches as a way to address these shortcomings and revitalize grammar teaching.

1. Advantages of Multimodal Approaches in Grammar Teaching

Multimodal approaches in grammar teaching offer a departure from the conventional one-size-fits-all model. These approaches leverage a combination of different communication modes, such as text, images, audio, and video, to present grammatical concepts in a more dynamic and diverse manner.

By doing so, multimodal approaches tap into the various learning preferences and strengths of students, accommodating their individual differences and cognitive styles (Kress, 2010).

Incorporating visuals, such as infographics and diagrams, alongside textual explanations can help learners visualize abstract grammar rules, making them more accessible and understandable (Mayer, 2001). This visual reinforcement aids learners in grasping complex sentence structures and syntactical relationships. Moreover, auditory components, like listening exercises or podcasts, not only enhance language acquisition but also contribute to improved pronunciation and phonological awareness (Plass & Jones, 2005).

2. Engaging Learners Interactively and Improving Learning Outcomes:

Multimodal approaches go beyond passive transmission of information; they foster interactive engagement that is proven to enhance learning outcomes. Learners are actively involved in the learning process through various interactive elements, such as quizzes, games, and simulations. This interactivity not only sustains learners' attention but also promotes critical thinking and problem-solving skills, as learners actively apply grammar rules in context (Gee, 2003; Mayer, 2009).

Moreover, multimodal approaches tap into the power of repetition and redundancy through multiple modes of representation. When grammar concepts are presented through text, visuals, audio, and interactive exercises, learners are exposed to a variety of contexts that reinforce the understanding of these concepts (Mayer & Moreno, 2003). This redundancy aids in memory retention and recall, ultimately leading to improved application of grammar rules in speaking and writing.

The combination of engagement, interactivity, and redundancy creates a fertile ground for effective grammar instruction. Learners not only comprehend the rules but also internalize them through active participation, leading to more confident and proficient language usage. The benefits of multimodal approaches in grammar teaching extend beyond the classroom, preparing learners for real-world language use and communication.

Challenges in Implementing Digital Multimodality

The incorporation of digital multimodality in grammar instruction holds immense promise, but its implementation is not without challenges. This section delves into the primary obstacles that educators encounter when integrating digital tools and resources into their grammar teaching practices.

1. Limited Access to Technology and Resources

While the digital era has ushered in a plethora of educational tools and resources, the accessibility of these resources remains unevenly distributed. The digital divide, characterized by disparities in access to technology and the internet, poses a significant challenge to implementing digital multimodality (Warschauer, 2003). Statistics reveal that a considerable portion of students, especially in underserved communities, lack access to reliable internet connections and devices capable of supporting multimedia content (Pew Research Center, 2021).

For instance, a survey conducted by the National Center for Education Statistics found that in the United States, 15% of students from low-income households lacked access to a computer, compared to only 1% from higher-income households (National Center for Education Statistics, 2020). This divide directly impacts students' ability to engage with multimodal learning materials, hindering their exposure to interactive grammar instruction.

2. Inadequate Teacher Training

Effective integration of digital multimodality requires educators to be proficient in utilizing the diverse array of digital tools available. However, a lack of adequate teacher training remains a significant challenge. Many educators may feel overwhelmed by the rapidly evolving technological landscape, struggling to navigate and select suitable tools that align with their instructional goals (Kirschner & Wopereis, 2003).

Teachers' familiarity with digital tools is essential for crafting engaging and impactful learning experiences. Insufficient training not only hampers educators' ability to harness the full potential of digital multimodality but also undermines their confidence in using these tools effectively (Ertmer, Ottenbreit-Leftwich, & York, 2007). As a consequence, the innovative benefits of multimodal instruction may not be fully realized, impeding the transformative potential of this approach.

3. Time Constraints within the Curriculum

Educators often find themselves caught in the tussle between comprehensive curriculum coverage and the incorporation of innovative teaching methods. Time constraints present a formidable challenge to integrating digital multimodality effectively (Dede, 2008). Curriculum demands may limit the opportunities for educators to explore and implement multimodal approaches, as they juggle the pressure to complete syllabi within prescribed timelines.

Time limitations not only hinder the exploration of creative multimodal strategies but also curtail the iterative process required for effective implementation. Educators may feel compelled to revert to traditional teaching methods due to the urgency of covering content. Consequently, the potential to harness the richness of digital multimodality for enhanced grammar instruction may remain unrealized.

Assessing Multimodal Student Work and Catering to Diverse Learning Needs

In the contemporary educational landscape, the proliferation of technology and digital tools has led to an increase in non-traditional forms of assignments, often referred to as multimodal student work (Ntelioglou, Fannin, Montanera, & Cummins, 2014). These assignments encompass a diverse range of formats, including videos, audio recordings, infographics, interactive presentations, and more. While these forms of assessment offer new and engaging ways for students to showcase their understanding, they also pose challenges when it comes to evaluating them fairly and effectively.

1. **Difficulties in Evaluating Non-Traditional Assignments**

One of the primary difficulties in assessing multimodal student work lies in the subjective nature of interpretation. Traditional assignments like essays or exams often come with well-established rubrics and criteria, making it easier to evaluate and compare students' performance. However, with multimodal assignments, the assessment criteria may vary significantly based on the chosen medium (Ross, Curwood, & Bell, 2020). This can lead to ambiguity in grading and raise questions about whether students' creativity is being prioritized over the actual content of their work. Moreover, some instructors might not be well-versed in the technology required for accessing and evaluating various multimodal formats, further complicating the assessment process (Falloon, 2020).

2. **Strategies for Fair and Effective Assessment**

To address these challenges, educators can adopt several strategies. Firstly, clear and specific assessment criteria should be established for each type of multimodal assignment (Jewitt, 2008). These criteria should focus on both the content and the effective use of the chosen medium. Rubrics should emphasize the learning objectives, ensuring that creativity is evaluated within the context of the subject matter. Secondly, providing students with exemplars of high-quality multimodal work can help set clear expectations and guide them in their own creations. Lastly, fostering a transparent and open dialogue with students about the assessment process can mitigate concerns and increase understanding of how their work will be evaluated (Vincelette & Bostic, 2013).

3. **Catering to Diverse Learning Needs**

While multimodal assignments have the potential to engage a wide range of students, it's important to recognize that not all learners benefit equally from these approaches (Allagui, 2023). Some students may have learning styles that align better with traditional written assignments or verbal communication. The visual and auditory nature of multimodal assignments might inadvertently disadvantage students with certain disabilities or preferences. Therefore, educators must strike a balance between embracing innovation and ensuring accessibility for all students.

4. **Adapting Multimodal Approaches for Different Learners**

To cater to diverse learning needs, educators can consider providing options for students to choose the format that suits them best (Gillett-Swan, 2017). For instance, allowing students to submit a traditional essay alongside a multimodal project ensures that they can showcase their understanding in a way that aligns with their strengths. Additionally, offering alternative means of engagement, such as interactive transcripts for videos or providing detailed audio descriptions for visual elements, can make multimodal assignments more inclusive.

Cultural and Linguistic Considerations

In the realm of digital education, the challenge of cultural and linguistic diversity becomes a significant aspect to address. As online learning environments transcend geographical boundaries, they

bring together students from diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds. This diversity enriches the learning experience, but it also presents challenges in terms of content resonance and inclusivity.

1. Challenge of Cultural and Linguistic Diversity

Multimodal resources, such as videos, images, and interactive simulations, have the potential to inadvertently exclude or alienate learners from certain cultures or linguistic communities (Suparmi, 2017). This challenge arises due to the presence of "cultural references, language nuances, or visual elements that are unfamiliar or even offensive to some learners."

2. Cultural Mismatch with Multimodal Resources

A teaching video that heavily relies on Western cultural references might not effectively engage students from non-Western backgrounds (Rajaram & Bordia, 2011). Language-based humor or idiomatic expressions may fall flat for non-native speakers leading to impaired comprehension and a sense of exclusion. This underscores how, in a diverse digital classroom, such disparities can hinder effective learning and reinforce cultural bias.

3. Importance of Inclusivity in Resource Selection

In an era where inclusivity is recognized as a fundamental educational principle, careful resource selection becomes paramount. Educators must be attuned to the diverse backgrounds of their students and should strive to curate content that respects and represents their varying cultures and languages (Drobot, 2021). This entails not merely superficial representation but also involves embedding cultural sensitivity and linguistic diversity into the fabric of the learning experience.

Maintaining Pedagogical Integration and Continuity

In the evolving landscape of digital education, maintaining a balanced pedagogical approach presents a multifaceted challenge. While the integration of digital tools and multimodal resources offers innovative ways to engage learners, it must be done without compromising the foundational teaching methods that have proven effective over time.

1. Challenge of Maintaining a Balanced Pedagogical Approach

The allure of digital multimodality lies in its potential to captivate learners through interactive and visually engaging content. However, an excessive focus on these tools can unintentionally shift the emphasis away from fundamental pedagogical principles. The challenge lies in finding the equilibrium between leveraging technology for engagement and ensuring that core educational objectives remain at the forefront (Hofer & Swan, 2008).

2. Over-Reliance on Digital Tools and Compromised Methods

As educators integrate more digital tools into their teaching, there's a risk of overlooking or sidelining traditional teaching methods that have historically fostered critical thinking, analytical skills, and meaningful interactions (Merta, Ratminingsih & Budasi, 2023). Over-reliance on digital tools that might overshadow the importance of deep discussions, textual analysis, and collaborative problem-

solving. An overemphasis on flashy digital content might inadvertently undermine the development of these essential skills.

3. Strategies for Seamless Integration of Digital Multimodality

To address this challenge, educators can adopt strategies that ensure a harmonious integration of digital multimodality into existing curricula. Educators should start with the learning objectives and then explore how digital multimodal resources can enhance, rather than replace, the established teaching methods (Hedberg, 2011).

Overcoming Challenges through Research and Development

Amidst the dynamic landscape of digital education, the imperative of continuous research and development (R&D) in the realm of digital multimodality becomes resoundingly apparent. This facet assumes paramount significance as it not only facilitates the surmounting of challenges but also catalyzes the evolution of effective pedagogical paradigms hinged upon innovative and contextually relevant strategies.

1. The Imperative of Ongoing Research and Development

Sustaining a robust trajectory of research and development within the domain of digital multimodality emerges as a linchpin in harnessing its full potential. With the rapid evolution of technology and the diversification of learner profiles, continuous R&D becomes an indispensable mechanism to adapt teaching methodologies and instructional materials to the evolving educational landscape (Gisbert, & Bullen, 2015).

2. Illustrations of Successful Implementations and Their Transformative Impact

Efficacious instances of R&D-driven implementations abound, exemplifying the potential for transformation in educational practices. Yoon, Anderson, Lin, & Elinich underscores how AR simulations have led to heightened engagement and conceptual comprehension among students, addressing the challenge of abstract scientific concepts' graspability (Yoon, Anderson, Lin, & Elinich, 2017).

3. The Role of Educational Institutions and Policymakers in Fostering an Innovative Milieu

Educational institutions and policymakers assume an instrumental role in fostering an environment conducive to innovation through R&D. By earmarking resources for research initiatives and nurturing collaborative endeavors between academia and industry, institutions can pave the way for impactful advancements in digital multimodality (Hanna, 2018). Policymakers, on the other hand, can champion policies that incentivize R&D initiatives, thus propelling the integration of innovative digital strategies into mainstream education.

CONCLUSION

The integration of technology has ushered in a new era of teaching methodologies, giving rise to the transformative concept of digital multimodality. This approach, fueled by an array of digital tools

and resources, has revolutionized grammar instruction, reshaping how students engage with language structures and rules. As we reflect on the profound impact of digital multimodality, it becomes evident that its benefits are manifold.

The traditional paradigm of grammar instruction has undergone a renaissance through the infusion of digital multimodality. No longer confined to textbooks and lectures, grammar lessons now embody a fusion of visual, auditory, and kinesthetic elements, fostering a multisensory learning environment. Interactive videos, animations, podcasts, and gamified exercises have breathed life into grammar education, accommodating diverse learning styles and promoting active participation and critical thinking.

However, this transition is not without its challenges. Limited access to technology, inadequate teacher training, time constraints within curricula, and the complexities of assessing multimodal work present hurdles that educators and stakeholders must confront. Moreover, the need to ensure inclusivity, cultural sensitivity, and pedagogical continuity poses further complexity.

Among these challenges, the path forward is one of growth, innovation, and collaboration. The synthesis of research and development emerges as a guiding light, illuminating the route toward effective implementation of digital multimodality. By embracing challenges as opportunities for improvement, the educational community can forge a path that harnesses the benefits of technology-driven pedagogy while navigating the intricacies of education in a digital age.

This exploration of the multifaceted landscape of digital multimodality in grammar teaching underscores its potential advantages and challenges. Educators, policymakers, and researchers can glean insights into the transformative power of technology in education, armed with strategies to overcome obstacles and maximize its benefits. As technology and grammar pedagogy converge, a journey towards enriched learning experiences is embarked upon, one that navigates hurdles with determination and embraces innovation with an unwavering commitment to educational excellence.

References

Albers, P. (2006). Imagining the Possibilities in Multimodal Curriculum Design. English Education, 38(2), 75-101.

Allagui, B. (2023). Factors underlying students' attitudes towards multimodal collaborative writing. Heliyon, 9(1).

Dede, C. (2008). A seismic shift in epistemology. EDUCAUSE Review, 43(3), 80-81.

Drobot, I. A. (2021). Multilingualism and awareness of cultural differences in communication. In Multilingualism-Interdisciplinary Topics. IntechOpen.

Ertmer, P. A., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., & York, C. S. (2007). Exemplary technology-using teachers: Perceptions of factors influencing success. Journal of Computing in Teacher Education, 23(2), 55-61.

Falloon, G. (2020). From digital literacy to digital competence: the teacher digital competency (TDC) framework. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68, 2449-2472.

Gee, J. P. (2003). What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy. Computers in entertainment (CIE), 1(1), 20-20.

Gillett-Swan, J. (2017). The challenges of online learning: Supporting and engaging the isolated learner. Journal of Learning Design, 10(1), 20-30.

Gisbert, M., & Bullen, M. (Eds.). (2015). Teaching and learning in digital world: Strategies and issues in higher education (Vol. 70). Publicacions Universitat Rovira i Virgili.

Hanna, N. (2018). A role for the state in the digital age. Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 7(1), 1-16.

Hattie, J. A., & Donoghue, G. M. (2016). Learning strategies: A synthesis and conceptual model. npj Science of Learning, 1(1), 1-13.

Hedberg, J. G. (2011). Towards a disruptive pedagogy: Changing classroom practice with technologies and digital content. Educational Media International, 48(1), 1-16.

Hofer, M., & Swan, K. O. (2008). Technological pedagogical content knowledge in action: A case study of a middle school digital documentary project. Journal of research on technology in education, 41(2), 179-200.

Jewitt, C. (2008). Multimodality and literacy in school classrooms. Review of research in education, 32(1), 241-267.

Kirschner, P. A., & Wopereis, I. G. (2003). Mindtools for teacher communities: A European perspective. Journal of Interactive Learning Research, 14(3), 245-262.

Kress, G. (2009). Multimodality: A social semiotic approach to contemporary communication. routledge.

Mayer, R. E. (2009). Multimedia learning. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.

Merta, L. W. S., Ratminingsih, N. M., & Budasi, I. G. (2023). The Integration of Technology in English Language Teaching to Stimulate Students' Critical Thinking. Language Circle: Journal of Language and Literature, 17(2), 333-341.

National Center for Education Statistics. (2020). Technology and education: Students and computer access. Retrieved from https://nces.ed.gov/

Ntelioglou, B. Y., Fannin, J., Montanera, M., & Cummins, J. (2014). A multilingual and multimodal approach to literacy teaching and learning in urban education: A collaborative inquiry project in an inner city elementary school. Frontiers in psychology, 5, 533.

Pew Research Center. (2021). Digital divide persists even as lower-income Americans make gains in tech adoption. Retrieved from https://www.pewresearch.org/

Plass, J. L., & Jones, L. C. (2005). Multimedia Learning in Second Language Acquisition. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning (pp. 467–488). Cambridge University Press.

Rajaram, K., & Bordia, S. (2011). Culture clash: Teaching Western-based management education to mainland Chinese students in Singapore. Journal of International Education in Business, 4(1), 63-83.

Ross, J., Curwood, J. S., & Bell, A. (2020). A multimodal assessment framework for higher education. E-learning and Digital Media, 17(4), 290-306. Brown, D. (2019). "Navigating the Challenges of Assessing Digital Multimodal Assignments." International Journal of Digital Literacy and Digital Competence, 10(4), 23-37.

Suparmi, S. (2017, April). Engaging students through multimodal learning environments: an Indonesian context. In LSCAC Conference Proceedings. The 4th International Conference on Language, Society and Culture in Asian Contexts (pp. 202-209). KnE Social Sciences.

Vincelette, E. J., & Bostic, T. (2013). Show and tell: Student and instructor perceptions of screencast assessment. Assessing Writing, 18(4), 257-277.

Warschauer, M. (2003). Technology and social inclusion: Rethinking the digital divide. MIT Press.

Yoon, S., Anderson, E., Lin, J., & Elinich, K. (2017). How augmented reality enables conceptual understanding of challenging science content. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 20(1), 156-168.